Balneotherapy or spa-therapy for Osteoarthritis

This version published: 2008; Review content assessed as up-to-date: August 19, 2007.

Link to full article: [Cochrane Library]

Plain language summary

This summary of a Cochrane review presents what we know from research about the effect of Balneotherapy (mineral baths) for Osteoarthritis (OA). The review shows that in people with OA:

- Spending time in a mineral bath compared to no treatment may improve pain and quality of life.
- Spending time in both a sulfur bath and a dead sea bath compared to no treatment may improve pain one month after treatment.
- Spending time in a sulfur bath or a Dead Sea bath compared to no treatment may not lead to any difference in pain.

There is not enough data to tell if spending time in mineral baths has any effect on a person’s physical function or their quality of life.

How people felt overall and any side effects were not measured in these studies.

What is osteoarthritis (OA) and what is balneotherapy?

Osteoarthritis is a disease of the joints. When joints lose cartilage, the bone in your joints tries to repair the damage. Instead of making things better, however, the bone grows abnormally and makes things worse. For example, the bone can become misshapen and make the joint painful and unstable.

Doctors used to think that osteoarthritis was caused by wear and tear on the cartilage. However, it’s now thought that osteoarthritis is a disease of the whole joint. OA is one of the most common forms of arthritis and affects men and women equally. For many people OA is one of the main causes of long-term disability.

Balneotherapy or spa-therapy is an ancient and popular therapy. It involves spending time in an indoor pool filled with mineral water at temperature of between 31 to 34 degrees Celsius (88 to 93 degrees Fahrenheit). Different types of mineral water may be used in this therapy.

Abstract

Background: Balneotherapy (or spa therapy, mineral baths) for patients with arthritis is one of the oldest forms of therapy. One of the aims of balneotherapy is to soothe the pain and as a consequence to relieve patients’ suffering and make them feel well. In this update we included one extra study.

Objectives: To assess the effectiveness of balneotherapy for patients with osteoarthritis (OA).

Search methods: We searched the following databases up to October 2006: EMBASE, PubMed, the Cochrane 'Rehabilitation and Related Therapies' Field database, PEDro, CENTRAL (Issue 3, 2006) and performed reference checking and communicated with authors to retrieve eligible studies.

Selection criteria: Randomised controlled trials (RCT) comparing balneotherapy with any intervention or no intervention. At least 90% of the patient population had to be diagnosed with OA.

Data collection and analysis: Two authors independently assessed quality and extracted data. Disagreements were solved by consensus. In the event of clinical heterogeneity or lack of data we refrained from statistical pooling.

Main results: Seven trials (498 patients) were included in this review. Two studies compared spa-treatment with no treatment. One study evaluated baths as an add-on treatment to home exercises and another compared thermal water from Cserkeszőlő with tap water (placebo). Three studies evaluated sulphur or Dead Sea baths with no treatment or mineral baths with tap water baths or no treatment. Only one of the trials performed an intention-to-treat analysis and two studies provided data to perform an intention-to-treat analysis ourselves. A ‘quality of life’ outcome was reported by one trial.

We found:

silver level evidence concerning the beneficial effects on pain, quality of life and analgesic intake of mineral baths compared to no treatment (SMD between 1.82 and 0.34).

a statistically significant difference in pain and function of Dead Sea + sulphur versus no treatment, only at
end of treatment (WMD 5.7, 95%CI 3.3 to 8.1), but not at 3 month follow-up (WMD 2.6, 95%CI -1.1 to 6.3).

no statistically significant differences in pain or function at one or three months of Dead Sea baths versus no treatment (WMD 0.5, 95%CI -0.6 to 1.6) or at one or three months of sulphur baths versus no treatment (WMD 0.4, 95%CI -0.9 to 1.7).

Adverse events were not measured in the included trials.

Authors' conclusions: We found silver level evidence (www.cochranemsk.org) concerning the beneficial effects of mineral baths compared to no treatment. Of all other balneological treatments no clear effects were found. However, the scientific evidence is weak because of the poor methodological quality and the absence of an adequate statistical analysis and data presentation. Therefore, the noted "positive findings" should be viewed with caution.
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